1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	AT SEATTI E		
10	RAYMOND McCOY,	CASE NO. C10-957MJP`	
11	Petitioner,	ORDER ON REPORT AND	
12	v.	RECOMMENDATION & MOTION FOR CERTIFICATE OF	
13	PAT GLEBE,	APPEALABILITY	
14	Respondent.		
15			
16	The above-entitled Court, having received and reviewed		
17	Magistrate Judge's Report and Record	mmendation (Dkt. No. 26)	
18	2. Respondent's Motion to Expand the	Record (Dkt. No. 16)	
19	3. Petitioner's Motions to Stay Proceed	ings, Expand the Record, Strike and Compel	
20	Disclosure, and Objecting to the Co	urt's Magistrate Judge Report and	
21	Recommendation (Dkt. Nos. 18, 19, 21, 27)		
22	4. Petitioner's Motion to Grant Certificate of Appealability (Dkt. No. 28)		
23	and all attached declarations and exhibits, makes the following ruling:		
24	IT IS ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED.		

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent's motion to expand the record (Dkt. No.
2	16) is GRANTED.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's motions (Dkt. Nos. 18, 19, 21) are
4	DENIED as moot.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent's motion to dismiss is GRANTED and
6	Petitioner's § 2254 habeas petition is DISMISSED with prejudice.
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's motion for a certificate of appealability is
8	DENIED.
9	Discussion
10	Petitioner does not even contest that the mandate of <u>Stone v. Powell</u> , 428 U.S. 465 (1978)
11	is applicable to the facts of his case; that he has not only had the opportunity, but in fact has
12	"fully and fairly" litigated his Fourth Amendment claims in state court. Having failed to
13	demonstrate that the state courts have precluded him from litigating his constitutional claims,
14	Petitioner is barred from pursuing them in federal court. The fact that he continues to be
15	dissatisfied with the results of his state court proceedings does not afford him grounds for the
16	relief he seeks.
17	The Court grants Respondent's motion to expand the record because the authenticity and
18	content of the requested documents are unchallenged and consist entirely of state-court records.
19	Petitioner's remaining motions are moot in light of the dismissal of his habeas petition, and the
20	Court denies them on that ground.
21	Because no reasonable jurist would disagree with the application of <u>Stone v. Powell</u> to
22	the facts of this case, Petitioner's request for a certificate of appealability will likewise be denied.
23	
24	

1	Conclusion	
2	Petitioner's habeas corpus petition is DISMISSED with prejudice and his remaining	
3	motion are dismissed as moot. Respondent's motion to expand the record is GRANTED.	
4	Petitioner's motion for certificate of appealability is DENIED.	
5		
6	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to Magistrate Judge Tsuchida, to	
7	Petitioner and to counsel.	
8	Dated December 15, 2010.	
9		
10	Maisluf Helens	
11	Marsha J. Pechman	
12	United States District Judge	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		